UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,773	08/30/2001	Shinako Matsuyama	09792909-5133	2470
26263 7590 04/09/2008 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			EXAMINER	
			LIPMAN, JACOB	
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/943,773	MATSUYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACOB LIPMAN	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 I</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-30 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-30 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable ac	own from consideration. For election requirement.	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	

Application/Control Number: 09/943,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a plurality of users devices configured to receive the secure container from the content provider; and the secure container wherein, the secure container contains". The words the container wherein are not understood and leave the claim indefinite and confusing.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-4 and 6-14, as best understood, would be allowable if not foe the above 112 issue. The examiner attempted to contact applicant to make an examiner's amendment, but there was no answer or voicemail. Claims 15-30 would also need to be canceled.

With regard to claim 1, Rose discloses a similar content distribution system for performing content transaction management, as outlined in the prior office action, but does not disclose a plurality of user devices configured to receive a secure container from a content provider, wherein one of the user devices is a secure container distributing device which receives a primary distribution including the secure container

Application/Control Number: 09/943,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

from the content provider and verifies the authenticity of the secure container, decrypts and extracts the encrypted biometric information template stored in the IDC, and is configured to compare sampling information input by a user of a receiving device which is one of the user devices with the decrypted biometric information template to authenticate the user of the receiving device, the secure container distributing device initiates a secondary distribution after the primary distribution by sending the content key to the receiving device, and the receiving device decrypts and copies the content sent from the secure container distributing device, after the user of the receiving device is authenticated. Adding this secure container distributing device to Rose would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it would add extra processing and steps with no additional security.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACOB LIPMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on 571-272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,773 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacob Lipman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2134